**UNIT-IV**

## Input / Output: Principles and Programming

One of the important jobs of an Operating System is to manage various I/O devices including mouse, keyboards, touch pad, disk drives, display adapters, USB devices, Bit-mapped screen, LED, Analog-to-digital converter, On/off switch, network connections, audio I/O, printers etc.

An I/O system is required to take an application I/O request and send it to the physical device, then take whatever response comes back from the device and send it to the application. I/O devices can be divided into two categories −

* **Block** **devices**− A block device is one with which the driver communicates by sending entire blocks of data. For example, Hard disks, USB cameras, Disk-On-Key etc.
* **Character** **devices**− a character device is one with which the driver communicates by sending and receiving single characters (bytes, octets). For example, serial ports, parallel ports, sound cards etc.


**Device** **Controllers**

Device drivers are software modules that can be plugged into an OS to handle a particular device. Operating System takes help from device drivers to handle all I/O devices.

The Device Controller works like an interface between a device and a device driver. I/O units (Keyboard, mouse, printer, etc.) typically consist of a mechanical component and an electronic component where electronic component is called the device controller.

There is always a device controller and a device driver for each device to communicate with the Operating Systems. A device controller may be able to handle multiple devices. As an interface its main task is to convert serial bit stream to block of bytes, perform error correction as necessary.

Any device connected to the computer is connected by a plug and socket, and the socket is connected to a device controller. Following is a model for connecting the CPU, memory, controllers, and I/O devices where CPU and device controllers all use a common bus for communication.

****

## Fig 4.1 Device Controllers

**Input** **/Output** **Problems**

When we analyze device communication, we notice that communication is required at the following three levels:

* + The need for a human to input information and receive output from a computer.
  + The need for a device to input information and receive output from a computer.
  + The need for computers to communicate (receive/send information) over networks.
    - The first kind of IO devices operate at rates good for humans to interact. These may be character-oriented devices like a keyboard or an event-generating device like a mouse. Usually, human input using a key board will be a few key depressions at a time. This means that the communication is rarely more than a few bytes. Also, the mouse events can be encoded by a small amount of information (just a few bytes). Even though a human input is very small, it is stipulated that it is very important, and therefore requires an immediate response from the system. A communication which attempts to draw attention often requires the use of an interrupt mechanism or a programmed data mode of operation.
    - The second kind of IO requirement arises from devices which have a very high character density such as tapes and disks. With these characteristics, it is not possible to regulate communication with devices on a character by character basis. The information transfer, therefore, is regulated in blocks of information. Additionally, sometimes this may require some kind of format control to structure the information to suit the device and/or data characteristics. For instance, a disk drive differs from a line printer or an image scanner. For each of these devices, the format and structure of information is different. It should be observed that the rate at which a device may provide data and the rates at which an end application may consume it may be considerably different. In spite of these differences, the OS should provide uniform and easy to use IO mechanisms. Usually, this is done by providing a buffer. The OS manages this

buffer so as to be able to comply with the requirements of both the and consumer of data.

* + - The third kind of IO requirements emanate from the need to negotiate system IO with the communications infrastructure. The system should be able to manage communications traffic across the network. This form of IO facilitates access to internet resources to support e-mail, file-transfer amongst machines or Web applications. Additionally now we have a large variety of options available as access devices. These access devices may be in the form of Personal Digital Assistant (PDA), or mobile phones which have infrared or wireless enabled communications. This rapidly evolving technology makes these forms of communications very challenging

## Asynchronous Operations

* **Synchronous** **I/O**− In this scheme CPU execution waits while I/O proceeds
* **Asynchronous** **I/O**− I/O proceeds concurrently with CPU execution

**Communication** **to** **I/O** **Devices**

The CPU must have a way to pass information to and from an I/O device. There are three approaches available to communicate with the CPU and Device.

* Special Instruction I/O
* Memory-mapped I/O
* Direct memory access (DMA)


## Special Instruction I/O

This uses CPU instructions that are specifically made for controlling I/O devices. These instructions typically allow data to be sent to an I/O device or read from an I/O device.

## Memory-mapped I/O

When using memory-mapped I/O, the same address space is shared by memory and I/O devices. The device is connected directly to certain main memory locations so that I/O device can transfer block of data to/from memory without going through CPU.

[**Downloaded from be.rgpvnotes.in**](https://be.rgpvnotes.in/)



## Fig 4.2 Memory-mapped I/O

While using memory mapped IO, OS allocates buffer in memory and informs I/O device to use that buffer to send data to the CPU. I/O device operates asynchronously with CPU, interrupts CPU when finished.

The advantage to this method is that every instruction which can access memory can be used to manipulate an I/O device. Memory mapped IO is used for most high-speed I/O devices like disks, communication interfaces.

## I/O Interface (Interrupt and DMA Mode)

The method that is used to transfer information between internal storage and external I/O devices is known as I/O interface. The CPU is interfaced using special communication links by the peripherals connected to any computer system. These communication links are used to resolve the differences between CPU and peripheral. There exists special hardware components between CPU and peripherals to supervise and synchronize all the input and output transfers that are called interface units.

## Mode of Transfer:

The binary information that is received from an external device is usually stored in the memory unit. The information that is transferred from the CPU to the external device is originated from the memory unit. CPU merely processes the information but the source and target is always the memory unit. Data transfer between CPU and the I/O devices may be done in different modes.

Data transfer to and from the peripherals may be done in any of the three possible ways


1. Programmed I/O.
2. Interrupt- initiated I/O.
3. Direct memory access (DMA).

[**Downloaded from be.rgpvnotes.in**](https://be.rgpvnotes.in/)

1. **Programmed** **I/O:** It is due to the result of the I/O instructions that are writt[en in the](https://be.rgpvnotes.in/) computer program. Each data item transfer is initiated by an instruction in the program. Usually the transfer is from a CPU register and memory. In this case it requires constant monitoring by the CPU of the peripheral devices.

**Example** **of** **Programmed** **I/O:** In this case, the I/O device does not have direct access to the memory unit. A transfer from I/O device to memory requires the execution of several instructions by the CPU, including an input instruction to transfer the data from device to the CPU and store instruction to transfer the data from CPU to memory. In programmed I/O, the CPU stays in the program loop until the I/O unit indicates that it is ready for data transfer. This is a time consuming process since it needlessly keeps the CPU busy. This situation can be avoided by using an interrupt facility. This is discussed below.

1. **Interrupt-** **initiated** **I/O:** Since in the above case we saw the CPU is kept busy unnecessarily. This situation can very well be avoided by using an interrupt driven method for data transfer. By using interrupt facility and special commands to inform the interface to issue an interrupt request signal whenever data is available from any device. In the meantime the CPU can proceed for any other program execution. The interface meanwhile keeps monitoring the device. Whenever it is determined that the device is ready for data transfer it initiates an interrupt request signal to the computer. Upon detection of an external interrupt signal the CPU stops momentarily the task that it was already performing, branches to the service program to process the I/O transfer, and then return to the task it was originally performing.
2. **Direct** **Memory** **Access**: The data transfer between a fast storage media such as magnetic disk and memory unit is limited by the speed of the CPU. Thus we can allow the peripherals directly communicate with each other using the memory buses, removing the intervention of the CPU. This type of data transfer technique is known as DMA or direct memory access. During DMA the CPU is idle and it has no control over the memory buses. The DMA controller takes over the buses to manage the transfer directly between the I/O devices and the memory unit.



## Fig 4.3 CPU Bus signals for DMA transfer

[**Downloaded from be.rgpvnotes.in**](https://be.rgpvnotes.in/)

## Concurrent I/O

* + In AIX® operating systems, you can use concurrent I/O in addition to direct I/O for chunks that use cooked files. Concurrent I/O can improve performance, because it allows multiple reads and writes to a file to occur concurrently, without the usual serialization of noncompeting read and write operations.
  + Concurrent I/O can be especially beneficial when you have data in a single chunk file striped across multiple disks.
  + Concurrent I/O, which you enable by setting the DIRECT\_IO configuration parameter to 2, includes the benefit of avoiding file system buffering and is subject to the same limitations and use of KAIO as occurs if you use direct I/O without concurrent I/O. Thus, when concurrent I/O is enabled, you get both un-buffered I/O and concurrent I/O.

## Concurrent Processes

Concurrency is the ability of a database to allow multiple Processes to affect multiple transactions. This is one of the main properties that separate a database from other forms of data storage like spreadsheets.

The ability to offer concurrency is unique to databases. Spreadsheets or other flat file means of storage are often compared to databases, but they differ in this one important regard. Spreadsheets cannot offer several users the ability to view and work on the different data in the same file, because once the first user opens the file it is locked to other users. Other users can read the file, but may not edit data.

## Concurrency

Distributed processing involves multiple processes on multiple systems. All of these involve cooperation, competition, and communication between processes that either run simultaneously or are interleaved in arbitrary ways to give the appearance of running simultaneously. Concurrent processing is thus central to operating systems and their design.

## Principles and Problems in Concurrency

Concurrency is the interleaving of processes in time to give the appearance of simultaneous execution. Thus it differs from parallelism, which offers genuine simultaneous execution. However the issues and difficulties raised by the two overlap to a large extent:

* + Sharing global resources safely is difficult
  + Optimal allocation of resources is difficult
  + Locating programming errors can be difficult, because the contexts in which errors occur cannot always be reproduced easily.

Parallelism also introduces the issue that different processors may run at different speeds, but again this problem is mirrored in concurrency because different processes progress at different rates.

[**Downloaded from be.rgpvnotes.in**](https://be.rgpvnotes.in/)

## A Simple Example

The fundamental problem in concurrency is processes interfering with each other while accessing a shared global resource. This can be illustrated with a surprisingly simple example:

chin = getchar(); chout = chin; putchar(chout);

Imagine two processes P1 and P2 both executing this code at the “same” time, with the following interleaving due to multi-programming.

* + - P1 enters this code, but is interrupted after reading the character x into chin.
    - P2 enters this code, and runs it to completion, reading and displaying the character y.
    - P1 is resumed, but chin now contains the character y, so P1 displays the wrong character.

The essence of the problem is the shared global variable chin. P1 sets chin, but this write is subsequently lost during the execution of P2. The general solution is to allow only one process at a time to enter the code that accesses

chin: such code is often called a critical section. When one process is inside a critical section of code, other processes must be prevented from entering that section. This requirement is known as mutual exclusion.

Mutual Exclusion

Mutual exclusion is in many ways the fundamental issue in concurrency. It is the requirement that when a process P is accessing a shared resource R, no other process should be able to access R until P has finished with R. Examples of such resources includes files, I/O devices such as printers, and shared data structures.

There are essentially three approaches to implementing mutual exclusion.

* + - Leave the responsibility with the processes themselves: this is the basis of most software approaches. These approaches are usually highly error-prone and carry high overheads.
    - Allow access to shared resources only through special-purpose machine instructions:

i.e. a hardware approach. These approaches are faster but still do not offer a complete solution to the problem, e.g. they cannot guarantee the absence of deadlock and starvation.

* + - Provide support through the operating system, or through the programming language. We shall outline three approaches in this category: semaphores, monitors, and message passing.

[**Downloaded from be.rgpvnotes.in**](https://be.rgpvnotes.in/)

## Semaphores

The fundamental idea of semaphores is that processes “communicate” via global counters that are initialized to a positive integer and that can be accessed only through two atomic operations

semSignal(x) increments the value of the semaphore x.

semWait(x) tests the value of the semaphore x: if x > 0, the process decrements x and continues; if x = 0, the process is blocked until some other process performs a semSignal, then it proceeds as above.

A critical code section is then protected by bracketing it between these two operations: semWait (x);

<critical code section> semSignal (x);

In general the number of processes that can execute this critical section simultaneously is determined by the initial value given to x. If more than this number tries to enter the critical section, the excess processes will be blocked until some processes exit. Most often, semaphores are initialized to one.

## Monitors

The principal problem with semaphores is that calls to semaphore operations tend to be distributed across a program, and therefore these sorts of programs can be difficult to get correct, and very difficult indeed to prove correct, Monitors address this problem by imposing a higher-level structure on accesses to semaphore variables. A monitor is essentially an object (in the Java sense) which has the semaphore variables as internal (private) data and the semaphore operations as (public) operations. Mutual exclusion is provided by allowing only one process to execute the monitor’s code at any given time. Monitors are significantly easier to validate than “bare” semaphores for at least two reasons:

* All synchronization code is confined to the monitor
* Once the monitor is correct, any number of processes sharing the resource will operate correctly.

## Inter Process Communication

A process can be of two types:

* Independent process.
* Co-operating process.

An independent process is not affected by the execution of other processes while a co operating process can be affected by other executing processes. Though one can think that those processes, which are running independently, will execute very efficiently but in practical,


[**Downloaded from be.rgpvnotes.in**](https://be.rgpvnotes.in/)

there are many situations when co-operative nature can be utilized for i[ncreasing](https://be.rgpvnotes.in/) computational speed, convenience and modularity. Inter process communication (IPC) is a mechanism which allows processes to communicate each other and synchronize their actions. The communication between these processes can be seen as a method of co-operation between them. Processes can communicate with each other using these two ways:

1. Shared Memory
2. Message passing

The Figure below shows a basic structure of communication between processes via shared memory method and via message passing.

An operating system can implement both method of communication. First, we will discuss the shared memory method of communication and then message passing. Communication between processes using shared memory requires processes to share some variable and it completely depends on how programmer will implement it. One way of communication using shared memory can be imagined like this: Suppose process1 and process2 are executing simultaneously and they share some resources or use some information from other process, process1 generate information about certain computations or resources being used and keeps it as a record in shared memory. When process2 need to use the shared information, it will check in the record stored in shared memory and take note of the information generated by process1 and act accordingly. Processes can use shared memory for extracting information as a record from other process as well as for delivering any specific information to other process. Let’s discuss an example of communication between processes using shared memory method.



## Fig 4.4 Shared Memory

**Process** **Synchronization**

Process Synchronization means sharing system resources by processes in such a way that, Concurrent access to shared data is handled thereby minimizing the chance of inconsistent data. Maintaining data consistency demands mechanisms to ensure synchronized execution of cooperating processes.

Process Synchronization was introduced to handle problems that arose while multiple process executions. Some of the problems are discussed below.

[**Downloaded from be.rgpvnotes.in**](https://be.rgpvnotes.in/)

## Critical Section Problem

A Critical Section is a code segment that accesses shared variables and has to be executed as an atomic action. It means that in a group of cooperating processes, at a given point of time, only one process must be executing its critical section. If any other process also wants to execute its critical section, it must wait until the first one finishes.

## Solution to Critical Section Problem

A solution to the critical section problem must satisfy the following three conditions:

## Mutual Exclusion

Out of a group of cooperating processes, only one process can be in its critical section at a given point of time.

## Progress

If no process is in its critical section, and if one or more threads want to execute their critical section then any one of these threads must be allowed to get into its critical section.

## Bounded Waiting

After a process makes a request for getting into its critical section, there is a limit for how many



other processes can get into their critical section, before this process's request is granted. So after the limit is reached, system must grant the process permission to get into its critical section.

## Synchronization Hardware

Many systems provide hardware support for critical section code. The critical section problem could be solved easily in a single-processor environment if we could disallow interrupts to occur while a shared variable or resource is being modified.

In this manner, we could be sure that the current sequence of instructions would be allowed to execute in order without pre-emption. Unfortunately, this solution is not feasible in a multiprocessor environment.

Disabling interrupt on a multiprocessor environment can be time consuming as the message is passed to all the processors.

This message transmission lag, delays entry of threads into critical section and the system efficiency decreases.

## Mutex Locks

As the synchronization hardware solution is not easy to implement for everyone, a strict software approach called Mutex Locks was introduced. In this approach, in the entry section of code, a LOCK is acquired over the critical resources modified and used inside critical section, and in the exit section that LOCK is released.

[**Downloaded from be.rgpvnotes.in**](https://be.rgpvnotes.in/)

As the resource is locked while a process executes its critical section hence no other [process](https://be.rgpvnotes.in/) can access it.

## Semaphores

In 1965, Dijkstra proposed a new and very significant technique for managing concurrent processes by using the value of a simple integer variable to synchronize the progress of interacting processes. This integer variable is called **semaphore**. So it is basically a synchronizing tool and is accessed only through two low standard atomic operations, **Wait** and **Signal** by P(S) and V(S) respectively.

In very simple words, **semaphore** is a variable which can hold only a non-negative Integer value, shared between all the threads, with operations **wait** and **signal**, which work as follow:

P(S): if S ≥ 1 then S := S - 1

else <block and enqueue the process>;

V(S): if <some process is blocked on the queue> then <unblock a process>

else S := S + 1;

The classical definitions of **wait** and **signal** are:



* **Wait**: Decrements the value of its argument S, as soon as it would become non-negative (greater than or equal to 1).
* **Signal**: Increments the value of its argument S, as there is no more process blocked on the queue.

## Properties of Semaphores

1. It's simple and always has a non-negative Integer value.
2. Works with many processes.
3. Can have many different critical sections with different semaphores.
4. Each critical section has unique access semaphores.
5. Can permit multiple processes into the critical section at once, if desirable.

## Types of Semaphores

Semaphores are mainly of two types:

## Binary Semaphore:

It is a special form of semaphore used for implementing mutual exclusion, hence it is often called a **Mutex**. A binary semaphore is initialized to 1 and only takes the values 0 and 1 during execution of a program.

[**Downloaded from be.rgpvnotes.in**](https://be.rgpvnotes.in/)

## Counting Semaphores:

These are used to implement bounded concurrency.

## Example of Use

Here is a simple step wise implementation involving declaration and usage of semaphore.



**Limitations** **of** **Semaphores**

Shared var mutex: semaphore = 1;

Process i begin

.

.

P(mutex); execute CS; V(mutex);

.

.

End;

1. **Priority** **Inversion** is a big limitation of semaphores.
2. Their use is not enforced, but is by convention only.
3. With improper use, a process may block indefinitely. Such a situation is called **Deadlock**. We will be studying deadlocks in details in coming lessons.

## Deadlock

Every process needs some resources to complete its execution. However, the resource is granted in a sequential order.

* 1. The process requests for some resource.
  2. OS grant the resource if it is available otherwise let the process waits.
  3. The process uses it and release on the completion.

A Deadlock is a situation where each of the computer process waits for a resource which is being assigned to some another process. In this situation, none of the process gets executed since the resource it needs, is held by some other process which is also waiting for some other resource to be released.

[**Downloaded from be.rgpvnotes.in**](https://be.rgpvnotes.in/)

## Deadlock Characterization

Deadlock can arise if four conditions hold simultaneously:

1. **Mutual** **Exclusion**: A resource can only be shared in mutually exclusive manner. It implies, if two processes cannot use the same resource at the same time.
2. **Hold** **and** **Wait:** A process waits for some resources while holding another resource at the same time.
3. **No** **preemption**: The process which once scheduled will be executed till the completion. No other process can be scheduled by the scheduler meanwhile.
4. **Circular** **Wait**: All the processes must be waiting for the resources in a cyclic manner so that the last process is waiting for the resource which is being held by the first process.

## Deadlocks Prevention

Deadlocks can be prevented by prevent at least one of the four conditions, because all this four conditions are required simultaneously to cause deadlock.

1. **Mutual** **Exclusion**

Resources shared such as read-only files do not lead to deadlocks but resources, such as printers and tape drives, requires exclusive access by a single process.



2. **Hold** **and** **Wait**

In this condition processes must be prevented from holding one or more resources while simultaneously waiting for one or more others.

## No Preemption

Preemption of process resource allocations can avoid the condition of deadlocks, where ever possible.

## Circular Wait

Circular wait can be avoided if we number all resources, and require that processes request resources only in strictly increasing (or decreasing) order.

## Handling Deadlock

The above points focus on preventing deadlocks. But what to do once a deadlock has occured. Following three strategies can be used to remove deadlock after its occurrence.

## Preemption

We can take a resource from one process and give it to other. This will resolve the deadlock situation, but sometimes it does causes problems.

## Rollback

In situations where deadlock is a real possibility, the system can periodically make a record of the state of each process and when deadlock occurs, roll everything back to the last

[**Downloaded from be.rgpvnotes.in**](https://be.rgpvnotes.in/)

[does not](https://be.rgpvnotes.in/)

checkpoint, and restart, but allocating resources differently so that deadlock occur.

## Kill one or more processes

This is the simplest way, but it works.

## Deadlock Avoidance

* + The general idea behind deadlock avoidance is to prevent deadlocks from ever happening, by preventing at least one of the aforementioned conditions.
  + This requires more information about each process, AND tends to lead to low device utilization. ( it is a conservative approach. )
  + In some algorithms the scheduler only needs to know the maximum number of each resource that a process might potentially use. In more complex algorithms the scheduler can also take advantage of the schedule of exactly what resources may be needed in what order.
  + When a scheduler sees that starting a process or granting resource requests may lead to future deadlocks, then that process is just not started or the request is not granted.
  + A resource allocation **state** is defined by the number of available and allocated resources and the maximum requirements of all processes in the system.

## Safe State

* + A state is **safe** if the system can allocate all resources requested by all processes ( up to their stated maximums ) without entering a deadlock state.
  + More formally, a state is safe if there exists a **safe** **sequence** of processes {P0, P1, P2, ..., PN} such that all of the resource requests for Pi can be granted using the resources currently allocated to Pi and all processes Pj where j < i. ( I.e. if all the processes prior to Pi finish and free up their resources, then Pi will be able to finish also, using the resources that they have freed up. )
  + If a safe sequence does not exist, then the system is in an unsafe state, which **MAY** lead to deadlock. (All safe states are deadlock free, but not all unsafe states lead to deadlocks.)

[**Downloaded from be.rgpvnotes.in**](https://be.rgpvnotes.in/)



**Unsafe**

**Safe**

**Dead** **Lock**

## Fig: 4.5 Safe, unsafe, and deadlocked state spaces.

* + For example, consider a system with 12 tape drives, allocated as follows. Is this a safe state? What is the safe sequence?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Maximum** **Needs** | **Current** **Allocation** |
| **P0** | 10 | 5 |
| **P1** | 4 | 2 |
| **P2** | 9 | 2 |


* + What happens to the above table if process P2 requests and is granted one more tape drive?
  + Key to the safe state approach is that when a request is made for resources, the request is granted only if the resulting allocation state is a safe one.

## Resource-Allocation Graph Algorithm

* + If resource categories have only single instances of their resources, then deadlock states can be detected by cycles in the resource-allocation graphs.
  + In this case, unsafe states can be recognized and avoided by augmenting the resource- allocation graph with **claim** **edges**, noted by dashed lines, which point from a process to a resource that it may request in the future.
  + In order for this technique to work, all claim edges must be added to the graph for any particular process before that process is allowed to request any resources. (Alternatively, processes may only make requests for resources for which they have already established claim edges, and claim edges cannot be added to any process that is currently holding resources.)


[**Downloaded from be.rgpvnotes.in**](https://be.rgpvnotes.in/)

* + When a process makes a request, the claim edge Pi->Rj is converted to a requ[est edge.](https://be.rgpvnotes.in/) Similarly when a resource is released, the assignment reverts back to a claim edge.
  + This approach works by denying requests that would produce cycles in the resource- allocation graph, taking claim edges into effect.
  + Consider for example what happens when process P2 requests resource R2:



## Fig 4.6 Resource allocation graph for deadlock avoidance

* + The resulting resource-allocation graph would have a cycle in it, and so the request cannot be granted.



## Fig. 4.6 An unsafe state in a resource allocation graph

**Banker's** **Algorithm**

* + For resource categories that contain more than one instance the resource-allocation graph method does not work, and more complex ( and less efficient ) methods must be chosen.

[**Downloaded from be.rgpvnotes.in**](https://be.rgpvnotes.in/)

* + The Banker's Algorithm gets its name because it is a method that bankers cou[ld use to](https://be.rgpvnotes.in/) assure that when they lend out resources they will still be able to satisfy all their clients. ( A banker won't loan out a little money to start building a house unless they are assured that they will later be able to loan out the rest of the money to finish the house. )
  + When a process starts up, it must state in advance the maximum allocation of resources it may request, up to the amount available on the system.
  + When a request is made, the scheduler determines whether granting the request would leave the system in a safe state. If not, then the process must wait until the request can be granted safely.
  + The banker's algorithm relies on several key data structures: ( where n is the number of processes and m is the number of resource categories. )
    - Available[ m ] indicates how many resources are currently available of each type.
    - Max[ n ][ m ] indicates the maximum demand of each process of each resource.
    - Allocation[ n ][ m ] indicates the number of each resource category allocated to each process.
    - Need[ n ][ m ] indicates the remaining resources needed of each type for each process. ( Note that Need[ i ][ j ] = Max[ i ][ j ] - Allocation[ i ][ j ] for all i, j. )
  + For simplification of discussions, we make the following notations / observations:
    - One row of the Need vector, Need[ i ], can be treated as a vector corresponding to the needs of process i, and similarly for Allocation and Max.
    - A vector X is considered to be <= a vector Y if X[ i ] <= Y[ i ] for all i.

## Safety Algorithm

* + In order to apply the Banker's algorithm, we first need an algorithm for determining whether or not a particular state is safe.
  + This algorithm determines if the current state of a system is safe, according to the following steps:

1. Let Work and Finish be vectors of length m and n respectively.
   * Work is a working copy of the available resources, which will be modified during the analysis.
   * Finish is a vector of Booleans indicating whether a particular process can finish. ( or has finished so far in the analysis. )
   * Initialize Work to Available, and Finish to false for all elements.

[**Downloaded from be.rgpvnotes.in**](https://be.rgpvnotes.in/)

1. Find an i such that both (A) Finish[ i ] == false, and (B) Need[ i ] < W[ork. This](https://be.rgpvnotes.in/) process has not finished, but could with the given available working set. If no such i exists, go to step 4.
2. Set Work = Work + Allocation[ i ], and set Finish[ i ] to true. This corresponds to process i finishing up and releasing its resources back into the work pool. Then loop back to step 2.
3. If finish[ i ] == true for all i, then the state is a safe state, because a safe sequence has been found.
   * ( JTB's Modification:
4. In step 1. instead of making Finish an array of booleans initialized to false, make it an array of ints initialized to 0. Also initialize an int s = 0 as a step counter.
5. In step 2, look for Finish[ i ] == 0.
6. In step 3, set Finish[ i ] to ++s. S is counting the number of finished processes.
7. For step 4, the test can be either Finish[ i ] > 0 for all i, or s >= n. The benefit of this method is that if a safe state exists, then Finish[ ] indicates one safe sequence ( of possibly many. ) )

## Resource-Request Algorithm (The Bankers Algorithm)

* + Now that we have a tool for determining if a particular state is safe or not, we are now ready to look at the Banker's algorithm itself.
  + This algorithm determines if a new request is safe, and grants it only if it is safe to do so.
  + When a request is made (that does not exceed currently available resources ), pretend it has been granted, and then see if the resulting state is a safe one. If so, grant the request, and if not, deny the request, as follows:


1. Let Request[ n ][ m ] indicate the number of resources of each type currently requested by processes. If Request[ i ] > Need[ i ] for any process i, raise an error condition.
2. If Request[ i ] > Available for any process i, then that process must wait for resources to become available. Otherwise the process can continue to step 3.
3. Check to see if the request can be granted safely, by pretending it has been granted and then seeing if the resulting state is safe. If so, grant the request, and if not, then the process must wait until its request can be granted safely.The procedure for granting a request ( or pretending to for testing purposes ) is:
   * Available = Available - Request

[**Downloaded from be.rgpvnotes.in**](https://be.rgpvnotes.in/)


* + Allocation = Allocation + Request
  + Need = Need - Request

## An Illustrative Example

* + Consider the following situation:



* + And now consider what happens if process P1 requests 1 instance of A and 2 instances of C. ( Request[ 1 ] = ( 1, 0, 2 ) )



* + What about requests of ( 3, 3,0 ) by P4? or ( 0, 2, 0 ) by P0? Can these be safely granted? Why or why not?

## Recovery from Deadlock

There are three basic approaches to recovery from deadlock:

1. Inform the system operator, and allow him/her to take manual intervention.
2. Terminate one or more processes involved in the deadlock
3. Preempt resources.

[**Downloaded from be.rgpvnotes.in**](https://be.rgpvnotes.in/)

## Process Termination

* Two basic approaches, both of which recover resources allocated to terminated processes:
* Terminate all processes involved in the deadlock. This definitely solves the deadlock, but at the expense of terminating more processes than would be absolutely necessary.
* Terminate processes one by one until the deadlock is broken. This is more conservative, but requires doing deadlock detection after each step.
* In the latter case there are many factors that can go into deciding which processes to terminate next:
  1. Process priorities.
  2. How long the process has been running, and how close it is to finishing.
  3. How many and what type of resources is the process holding. ( Are they easy to preempt and restore? )
  4. How many more resources does the process need to complete.
  5. How many processes will need to be terminated
  6. Whether the process is interactive or batch.
  7. (Whether or not the process has made non-restorable changes to any resource.)

## Resource Preemption

When preempting resources to relieve deadlock, there are three important issues to be addressed:

1. **Selecting** **a** **victim** - Deciding which resources to preempt from which processes involves many of the same decision criteria outlined above.
2. **Rollback** - Ideally one would like to roll back a preempted process to a safe state prior to the point at which that resource was originally allocated to the process. Unfortunately it can be difficult or impossible to determine what such a safe state is, and so the only safe rollback is to roll back all the way back to the beginning. ( I.e. abort the process and make it start over. )
3. **Starvation** - How do you guarantee that a process won't starve because its resources are constantly being preempted? One option would be to use a priority system, and increase the priority of a process every time its resources get preempted. Eventually it should get a high enough priority that it won't get preempted any more.

[**Downloaded from be.rgpvnotes.in**](https://be.rgpvnotes.in/)

## Difference between Starvation and Deadlock

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Sr.** | **Deadlock** | **Starvation** |
| 1 | Deadlock is a situation where no process got blocked and no process proceeds | Starvation is a situation where the low priority process got blocked and  the high priority processes proceed. |
| 2 | Deadlock is an infinite waiting. | Starvation is a long waiting but not  infinite. |
| 3 | Every Deadlock is always a starvation. | Every starvation need not be  deadlock. |
| 4 | The requested resource is blocked by the other process. | The requested resource is continuously be used by the higher  priority processes. |
| 5 | Deadlock happens when Mutual exclusion, hold and wait, No preemption  and circular wait occurs simultaneously. | It occurs due to the uncontrolled priority and resource management. |

**Livelock:**

There is a variant of deadlock called livelock. This is a situation in which two or more processes



continuously change their state in response to changes in the other process without doing any useful work. This is similar to deadlock in that no progress is made but differs in that neither process is blocked or waiting for anything.

A human example of livelock would be two people who meet face-to-face in a corridor and each move aside to let the other pass, but they end up swaying from side to side without making any progress because they always move the same way at the same time.



# We hope you find these notes useful.

You can get previous year question papers at [https://qp.rgpvnotes.in](https://qp.rgpvnotes.in/) .

If you have any queries or you want to submit your study notes please write us at [rgpvnotes.in@gmail.com](mailto:rgpvnotes.in@gmail.com)

